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The conformity to the provisions of the constitution of the
«anonymity on the internet»

Abstract

Is the so called «anonymity on the internet» an established right that has evolved from the very reality of the internet or a fundamental civil right protected directly by our Constitution? The answer to this question might contribute to solving the dilemma that has emerged with such intensity in recent years: is the right to «anonymity on the
The above question could also be formulated as follows: the rights to freedom of expression and privacy of communication provided by Articles 14 and 19 of the Greek Constitution occupy also the right to «anonymity on the internet», even in an absolute way? Or is the need to protect other constitutional rights, such as that for the protection of personality under article 5, or the right to judicial protection under article 20, also very important and possibly prevails? Apparently neither can the right to anonymity be considered absolutely inviolable.

In our country, the debate on the issue has become very widespread especially after the adoption of the 9/2009 Opinion of the Attorney General, followed by the 12/2009 and 9/2011 Opinions, according to which the traffic and location data are not protected by the legislation on the confidentiality of communications. In addition, a special legislative committee was recently established by the Justice Department to review the relevant legislation.

The purpose of this paper is to provide answers to the above questions, by interpreting the intent of the constitutional legislator.